HONORABLE THOMAS S. ZILLY 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 9 HUNTERS CAPITAL, LLC, et al., 10 Case No. 20-cv-00983 Plaintiffs, CITY OF SEATTLE'S MOTION FOR LEAVE 11 TO FILE OVER-LENGTH BRIEF v. 12 CITY OF SEATTLE, **NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:** 13 **SEPTEMBER 26, 2022** Defendant. 14 15 Pursuant to Local Rule 7(f), the City of Seattle seeks leave of the Court to file an over-16 length brief in support of its Motion for Summary Judgment. The City of Seattle respectfully 17 requests to file a motion of no more than thirty-two (32) pages, which is eight pages more than the 18 limit prescribed by Local Rule 7(e)(3). 19 Plaintiffs in this case are twelve different businesses, residents, and landlords who have 20 each brought five constitutional and tort claims against the City. The case is complex and involves 21 over \$3 million in claimed damages by the twelve separate plaintiffs. The City intends to move for 22 summary judgment against all plaintiffs and on all claims. Rather than file twelve separate briefs, 23 the City believes it would be more efficient to proceed with the filing of a single, consolidated 24 25

1 2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CITY OF SEATTLE'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OVER-LENGTH BRIEF - 2 (Case No. 20-cv-00983)

brief in support of its motion for summary judgment.<sup>1</sup>

Given the number of plaintiffs, number of issues, complexity of the case, and the damages at stake, the City respectfully requests additional pages for its motion. The City's request will not prejudice the plaintiffs and will streamline the presentation of issues before this Court. Granting the City's request to enlarge pages will allow the City to address each plaintiff's claim fully while at the same time maximizing the efficient use of judicial resources. Moreover, during the briefing on class certification, the parties stipulated to increase the number of allowed pages. *See* Dkt. 53. Summary judgment presents an equally significant juncture in the case and should be treated similarly.

For the foregoing reasons, the City of Seattle respectfully requests the Court grant an additional eight (8) pages for its brief in support of its Motion for Summary Judgment, for a total of thirty-two (32) pages, with the length of the opposition and reply to be governed by Local Rule 7(f)(4).

<sup>1</sup> The Local Rules prohibit the filing of contemporaneous motions seeking to dispose of single issues or claims, but do

not appear to prohibit the filing of separate motions against each plaintiff in a multi-plaintiff suit.

DATED this 26th day of September, 2022.

ANN DAVISON
Seattle City Attorney

By: s/Joseph Groshong

Joseph Groshong, WSBA# 41593 Assistant City Attorney Seattle City Attorney's Office 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050 Seattle, WA 98104

Tel: (206) 684-8200 Fax: (206) 684-8284

Joseph.Groshong@seattle.gov

LAW OFFICES
HARRIGAN LEYH FARMER & THOMSEN LLP
999 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 4400
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
TEL (206) 623-1700 FAX (206) 623-8717

1 HARRIGAN LEYH FARMER & THOMSEN LLP 2 By: s/ Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr. By: s/Tyler L. Farmer 3 By: s/Shane P. Cramer By: s/Erica Iverson 4 Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr., WSBA #1751 Tyler L. Farmer, WSBA #39912 5 Shane P. Cramer, WSBA #35099 Erica Iverson, WSBA #59627 6 999 Third Avenue, Suite 4400 7 Seattle, WA 98104 Tel: (206) 623-1700 8 arthurh@harriganleyh.com tylerf@harriganleyh.com 9 shanec@harriganleyh.com ericai@harriganleyh.com 10 Attorneys for City of Seattle 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25